**Subject:** Fwd: CCB Report from Telecon, 5 September 2014, 8:30am PDT. **Date:** Saturday, September 6, 2014 at 12:40:09 AM Pacific Daylight Time

From: Lynn Neakrase
To: Law, Emily S (3980)

Changes from Dick on my first point... For the minutes.

-Lynn

Sent from my iPad. Lynn D. V. Neakrase, Ph.D. Senior Scientist Specialist NASA PDS Atmospheres Node Department of Astronomy New Mexico State University P.O. Box 30001, MSC 4500 Las Cruces, NM 88003

Begin forwarded message:

From: Richard Simpson < radiosci@att.net>
Date: September 5, 2014 at 11:02:58 PM MDT
To: Lynn Neakrase < Ineakras@nmsu.edu>

Subject: Re: CCB Report from Telecon, 5 September 2014, 8:30am PDT.

**Reply-To:** Richard Simpson < <a href="mailto:radiosci@att.net">radiosci@att.net</a>>

Suggest revision of first statement under CCB-63 summary to "Namely, it was billed as an improvement to the Product\_Document structure, replacing a structure which had the Document class in parallel with all of the versions of the document (instances of Document\_Format\_Set) with Document\_Editions being nested under the Document class."

From: Lynn Neakrase <<u>Ineakras@nmsu.edu</u>>
To: Emily Law <<u>emily.s.law@jpl.nasa.gov</u>>; <u>dheather@rssd.esa.int</u>; Thomas C. Stein <<u>stein@wunder.wustl.edu</u>>; "mshowalter@seti.org Showalter" <<u>mshowalter@seti.org</u>>; Steven Joy <<u>sjoy@igpp.ucla.edu</u>>; Todd King <<u>tking@igpp.ucla.edu</u>>; Ed Shaya <<u>eshaya@umd.edu</u>>; Trent M Hare <<u>thare@usgs.gov</u>>
Cc: 4231 Crichton <<u>Daniel.J.Crichton@jpl.nasa.gov</u>>; 398J Joyner <<u>Ronald.Joyner@jpl.nasa.gov</u>>; 3980 Hughes <<u>john.s.hughes@jpl.nasa.gov</u>>; Richard Simpson <<u>radiosci@att.net</u>>; Dick Simpson 650-723-3525 <<u>rsimpson@magellan.stanford.edu</u>>; Santa Martinez <<u>Santa.Martinez@sciops.esa.int</u>>; Anne Raugh <<u>raugh@astro.umd.edu</u>>; Lyle Huber <<u>lhuber@nmsu.edu</u>>; Susan Slavney <<u>slavney@wunder.wustl.edu</u>>; Mike Martin <<u>tahoe\_mike@sbcglobal.net</u>>; GSFC-6901 (GSFC-6901) Morgan <<u>Thomas.h.morgan@nasa.gov</u>>; Joe Mafi <<u>imafi@igpp.ucla.edu</u>>

Sent: Friday, September 5, 2014 5:07 PM

Subject: CCB Report from Telecon, 5 September 2014, 8:30am PDT.

Hi CCB and Interested Parties,

Here are the notes and results of the CCB meeting from this morning. We had a great discussion — As usual, if I haven't captured something accurately let me know so we can adjust it for the web posting.

Thanks again for your work and involvement!

RESULTS, Sept. 5, 2014.

\_\_\_\_

## CCB-63: Improve Product\_Document Class/Attribute Structure

• Summary given by Simpson, including general layout and changes introduced by CCB-63.

Namely, it was billed as an improvement to the Document\_Class structure, moving from a parallel structure (past) to a nested class within Document under Product\_Document.

Simpson also presented information on the addition of Document\_Edition and the restructuring of the old Document\_Format\_Set.

Simpson acknowledged the comments from JIRA pertaining to the further addition of a Language attribute under Document\_Edition and agreed that this is a further improvement (supported by RINGS and IPDA).

Rebuttal of the new class/attribute structure was presented by ATM (Huber).
 Specifically Huber pointed out that the DDWG endorsement of CCB-63 was not unanimous and that there were serious concerns over making non-backwards compatible mostly cosmetic changes.

ATM did not agree to the word choice changes for attribute names, and suggested that the revisions did not improve clarity for something that was essentially not broken.

Huber did confirm that point 3 of the CCB-63 Problem Statement was where the SCR had some merit but disagreed that this should be included in CCB-63 and not handled by a separate SCR, as it wasn't contained in the original SCR effort

- Simpson responded in agreement that nothing in the old system was broken, but CCB-63 would enhance and improve documents in future iteration.
- CCB voted on the SCR with the addition of the lien presented by RINGS and IPDA for the inclusion of the Language field.

#### **CCB-63 VOTING RESULTS:**

ATM: NO GEO: YES IMG: YES IPDA: YES PPI: YES RINGS: YES SBN: YES

CCB-63 PASSES by majority vote — Queue for Implementation pending inclusion of the Language field in Document\_Edition.

_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

### **OTHER ISSUES:**

# CCB-68: Missing enumerated values for local\_reference\_type in arrays?

• Posts on JIRA suggested confusion over the description of the problem to be addressed with this SCR.

There was a perception that no problem or solution was being presented. Hughes recounted the history of this SCR and stated that it stemmed out of confusion of past passed SCRs.

Tech Assessment statement (by Hughes) described the resulting actions to be taken.

Resulting Action was to modify descriptions for the class

<Local\_Internal\_Reference> and attribute <local\_reference\_type> to clarify
resolutions from past SCRs.

This is designated an anomaly/bug fix — correctly — as it fixes documentation on an already accepted concept.

• CCB requires the Problem Statement, Proposed Solution,

Dependencies/Contingencies, Requested Changes, etc. be updated to:

- 1) Remove the ambiguous language, poorly-defined issues, and conditional language.
- 2) Definitively describe the problem that resulted in description modification in the IM.
- Anne Raugh and Steve Hughes are designated to carry this out.
- Once changes are made for continuity, this SCR should be passed by consent (projected 09/09/14)

\_\_\_\_\_

# CCB-76: Deprecated values for <inventory> <field\_delimiter> are not reported.

PASSES by consent as Anomaly/Bug.

\_\_\_\_\_

## CCB-70: Bug fixes for version 1.3.0.0

 Neakrase bought up concern over procedure for addressing bug fixes for the 1.3.0.0 build.

Currently CCB-70 is used as a catch-all for last minute bug fixes necessary in testing of the upcoming 1.3.0.0 release.

CCB-70 was passed and queued for implementation, then more bug fixes were added.

- Neakrase proposed leaving CCB-70 OPEN until all bug fixes for 1.3.0.0 were included, at which time the CCB would have an open period (normal Thurs-Tues cycle) to review the bug fixes before they are queued for implementation.
- Moving forward looking to the 1.4.0.0 release, Stein suggested that EN submit Version Release Bug Fixes in batches spread out through the development period as needed (2-3 batches over the 6 month window) to facilitate incorporation in the IM AND allow for CCB Review to help catch any errors or issues.

CCB agreed this approach was acceptable.

Action to EN on CCB-70: Leave this open until Hughes is finished submitting bug fixes and then submit to CCB for final approval for the 1.3.0.0 implementation.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Lynn D.V. Neakrase, Ph.D. Senior Research Scientist Science Infusion Manager PDS4 CCB Chair NASA Planetary Data System Department of Astronomy New Mexico State University P.O.Box 30001, MSC 4500 Las Cruces, NM 88003

Office: (575)646-1862 Cell: (602)502-2462