
Data Dictionaries

— Discussion Points —

“Rigorous Validation”

- What does this mean, practically?
 - Can it be quantified?
 - How could it be enforced or verified?
-

Discipline vs. Project Dictionaries

- What sort of requirements on discipline dictionaries might be only best practices or recommendations for project dictionaries?
 - What level of support and integration do we want to provide for active and archived project dictionaries on web sites, dictionary services, etc.?
 - What metadata and file set needs to be preserved for an archived project dictionary?
-

Discipline Dictionaries

- For discipline dictionaries in development now and in the immediate future, is there some semi-formal review process we can institute to help with quality control, testing, etc.?
 - Do we need specific delivery requirements phased in quickly for discipline dictionaries - e.g., user documentation, integration into the search interface, at least minimal regression test sets? If so, what and how?
 - What critical documentation/support is needed for discipline stewards to work effectively?
-

Project Dictionaries

- How do we present a project dictionary to the peer reviewers to ensure it does get reviewed?
 - What support is needed to present dictionaries to reviewers?
 - What additional documentation/support do project dictionary stewards need to work effectively (above what is needed by discipline stewards)?
 - Do we need a formal hand-off procedure from project stewardship to archival stewardship of the dictionary by PDS?
-