

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: PDS CCB: 3 SCRs for CCB to consider (CCB-335, CCB-343, CCB-340)

**Date:** Tuesday, March 1, 2022 at 12:38:27 AM Pacific Standard Time

**From:** Mark S. Bentley

**To:** Joyner, Ronald (US 398G), EXTERNAL-Stone, Jesse V (US 398A-Affiliate), Ineakras@nmsu.edu, EXTERNAL-Mayer, David P (US 398B-Affiliate), matt@seti.org, EXTERNAL-Joy, Steve P (US 4900-Affiliate), Stein, Thomas, EXTERNAL-Hare, Trent M (US 398A-Affiliate), Tang, Vivian (US 398B)

Dear CCBers,

To summarise the results and discussion so far:

## CCB-335 (Inventory delimiters)

6 Yes (ATM, CIS, GEO, RMS, IPDA, SBN)  
1 abstain (PPI)

## CCB-343 (Product\_Metadata\_Supplemental)

4 Yes (RMS, PPI, ATM, SBN)  
1 No (CIS)  
1 abstain (IPDA)  
1 discussion requested (GEO)

## CCB-340 (Local\_Internal\_Reference)

6 Yes (CIS, RMS, GEO, PPI, ATM, IPDA)  
1 abstain (SBN)

It sounds like a short chat would be good, especially on 343 - I know previously there was a regular slot identified previously (Thursday mornings?) - is this still good?

Regards, Mark

On 21/02/2022 11:47, Mark S. Bentley wrote:

> Dear all,

>

> I hope you are all well. Please find below details of 1 re-submitted and  
> 2 new issues for our attention. Both of the new tickets sound like they  
> need some careful thought.

>

> It wouldn't surprise me if one or more of these would benefit from a  
> "live" discussion, so please let me know your thoughts and we can  
> schedule a telecon if needed.

>

> It would be great to conclude early next week, but if we need more time  
> to discuss that's fine - free free to kick off the discussion here in  
> any case!

