

On 8/23/22, 12:41 AM, "Mark Bentley" <Mark.Bentley@esa.int> wrote:

Dear all,

Find below details of 3 CCB issues for our consideration and two more for information (unless anyone has objections or comments!). I'm currently on vacation and haven't had a chance to look at them in detail, but will be back in the office on Friday. As ever, let me know your votes/comments or if you need discussion. Due to the upcoming build deadline, an earlier response would be great, preferably at latest by the end of August.

Regards, Mark

--

Mark S. Bentley
Science Lead, Planetary Science Archive
BepiColombo Archive Scientist

European Space Agency (ESA)
European Space Astronomy Centre (ESAC)
E-28692 Villanueva de la Cañada, Madrid, Spain
mark.bentley@esa.int | www.esa.int

From: "Tang, Vivian (US 398B)" <vivian.tang@jpl.nasa.gov>
Date: Monday, 22 August 2022 at 18:56
To: Mark Bentley <Mark.Bentley@esa.int>
Cc: Mike Drum <m drum@psi.edu>, "Joyner, Ronald (US 398G)" <ronald.joyner@jpl.nasa.gov>
Subject: [PDS CCB]: 3 SCRs are ready for CCB to review (CCB-209, CCB-351, CCB-347)

Hi Mark,

The following 3 SCRs are ready for CCB to review/vote:

- (1) [CCB-209](#) Correct Definitions of Tagged_*_Object
 - This has medium impact on the IM at the abstract level
 - Item Passed: 8 Yes (atm, en, geo, img, ppi, rms, rs, sbn), 1 abs (naif), 1 nv (ipda)
- (2) [CCB-351](#) Term Mapping
 - This has moderate impact on the IM. Two new "ops" classes are being added
 - Item Passed: 7 Yes (atm, en, geo, img, ppi, rms, sbn), 2 abs (naif, rs), 1 nv (ipda)
- (3) [CCB-347](#) Restrict Content and Naming of Inventory Files
 - This has a minor impact on the Standards Reference and PDS Tools
 - Item Passed: 8 Yes (atm, en, geo, naif, ppi, rms, rs, sbn), 1 abs (img), 1 nv (ipda)

The following are for info only. They are bug fixes and would not require a vote from CCB vote.

1. [CCB-350](#) - Adopt more rigorous / stringent rules for leap seconds and use of "24:00:00" in datetimes.
2. [CCB-352](#) - Standards Reference Footnote Error

If the CCB wishes to see the final implementation of CCB-350, it will take some time and probably delay it out of this build. The intent is just to clarify and correct that issue.

Thanks,
Vivian