

Subject: [EXTERNAL] PDS CCB: SCRs 280, 279, 272 passed without dissent

Date: Thursday, March 12, 2020 at 10:32:19 AM Pacific Daylight Time

From: Steven Joy

To: Joyner, Ronald (US 398G), Law, Emily S (US 3980), EXTERNAL-Stone, Jesse (398A-Affiliate), Lynn Neakrase, Lyle Huber, Mayer, David P, Matt Tiscareno, tanya.lim@sciops.esa.int, Mark Bentley

CC: Ed Guinness, EXTERNAL-Stein, Tom (US 6700-Affiliate)

Folks -

Just a quick note to let everyone know that SCRs 280, 279, and 272 passed the CCB by e-vote without dissent.

-- 20200311: CCB Vote item 280:

-- CCB-280 PASSED: 6 Yes (ATM, GEO, IPDA, PPI, RMS, SBN); 1 not voting (CIS);

-- 20200311: CCB Vote item 279:

-- CCB-274 PASSED: 6 Yes (ATM, GEO, IPDA, PPI, RMS, SBN); 1 not voting (CIS);

-- 20200311: CCB Vote item 272:

-- CCB-278 PASSED: 6 Yes (ATM, GEO, IPDA, PPI, RMS, SBN); 1 not voting (CIS);

As a side note, there were some on the CCB who felt that items 280 and 279 were not changes to the IM and therefore did not require a CCB vote, but votes were gathered rather than debate the issue. As was done here, the DDWG should continue to push items to the CCB for a vote unless the SCR can be clearly defined and documented as a bug fix or an update to the documentation that does not change the standard. Items not requiring a vote should still be referred to the CCB for concurrence.

-Steve-