

Subject: [EXTERNAL] PDS CCB: SCRs 264, 319, and 320 passed without dissent, 322 rejected

Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 11:00:39 AM Pacific Standard Time

From: Steven Joy

To: Joyner, Ronald (US 398G), Law, Emily S (US 3980), EXTERNAL-Stone, Jesse V (US 398A-Affiliate), Lynn Neakrase, Mayer, David P, Matt Tiscareno, Mark Bentley

CC: Trent M Hare, EXTERNAL-Stein, Tom (US 4210-Affiliate)

Folks -

Just a quick note to let everyone know that SCRs 264, 319, and 320 all passed the CCB by e-vote without dissent, but that item 322 was rejected by all voting members.

-- 20210119: CCB Vote item 264:

-- CCB-264 PASSED: 7 Yes (ATM, CIS, GEO, IPDA, PPI, RMS, SBN);

-- 20210119: CCB Vote item 319:

-- CCB-319 PASSED: 7 Yes (ATM, CIS, GEO, IPDA, PPI, RMS, SBN);

-- 20210119: CCB Vote item 320:

-- CCB-320 PASSED: 7 Yes (ATM, CIS, GEO, IPDA, PPI, RMS, SBN);

-- 20210119: CCB Vote item 322:

-- CCB-322 REJECTED: 5 No (ATM, GEO, IPDA, RMS, SBN); 2 Abstain (CIS, PPI);

The CCB reviewed the request to include ODL as a defined header type (322) but agreed that ODL is not a well-defined standard. Users should use the existing 7-BIT ASCII header type to describe any PDS-like headers that are not PDS compliant. The DDWG should not invest effort in trying to update this change request since the underlying issue (no standard definition of ODL) will not change.

-Steve-