

Archival Data During Migration

PDS Face-to-Face Meeting

18 Nov 2013

Pasadena, CA

1: No Change to archival data during migration.

If we do not alter the data or the content of the documentation, then it should follow that, if the initial peer review deemed the data scientifically usable, they are still usable and do not require a new peer review.

(Note: We are not throwing away the PDS3 labels - only adding PDS4 labels to the bundles).

Similarly, if we do not alter the data but do alter the documentation then, assuming the data are still scientifically usable in their original form, a "peer review" of these migrated data sets would consist of a technical review that is limited to validation of the documentation.

1: No Change to archival data during migration.

If we do not alter the data or the content of the documentation, then it should follow that, if the initial peer review deemed the data scientifically usable, they are still usable and do not require a new peer review.

(Note: We are not throwing away the PDS3 labels - only adding PDS4 labels to the bundles).

Similarly, if we do not alter the data but do alter the documentation then, assuming the data are still scientifically usable in their original form, a "peer review" of these migrated data sets would consist of a technical review that is limited to validation of the documentation.

2: Change to archival data.

If we alter the data to conform to PDS4 data structures, then the decision to review should be determined on a case-to-case basis based on the degree to which the node can determine whether the scientific usability of the data has been unaltered.

No data archived will be changed

Metadata must correctly describe the data

Metadata should be reviewed, BUT this could be done internally by the node establishing the metadata

The internal node reviewer should be a DIFFERENT person than the person who created the PDS4 metadata